

Non-extendable isomorphisms between affine varieties

Vladimir Shpilrain

and

Jie-Tai Yu*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we report several large classes of affine varieties (over an arbitrary field K of characteristic 0) with the following property: each variety in these classes has an isomorphic copy such that the corresponding isomorphism cannot be extended to an automorphism of the ambient affine space K^n . This implies, in particular, that each of these varieties has at least two inequivalent embeddings in K^n . The following application of our results seems interesting: we show that lines in K^2 are distinguished among irreducible algebraic retracts by the property of having a unique embedding in K^2 .

1 Introduction

Let $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial algebra in n variables over a field K of characteristic 0. Any collection of polynomials $p_1, \dots, p_m$ from $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ determines an algebraic variety $\{p_i = 0, i = 1, \dots, m\}$ in the affine space K^n . We shall denote this algebraic variety by $V(p_1, \dots, p_m)$.

We say that two algebraic varieties $V(p_1, \dots, p_m)$ and $V(q_1, \dots, q_k)$ are *isomorphic* if the algebras of residue classes $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle p_1, \dots, p_m \rangle$ and $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle q_1, \dots, q_k \rangle$ are isomorphic. Here $\langle p_1, \dots, p_m \rangle$ denotes the ideal of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ generated by $p_1, \dots, p_m$. Thus, isomorphism that we consider here is algebraic, not geometric, i.e., we actually consider isomorphism of what is called *affine schemes*.

On the other hand, we say that two algebraic varieties (or, rather, embeddings of the same algebraic variety in K^n) are *equivalent* if there is an automorphism of K^n that takes one of them onto the other. Algebraically, this means there is an automorphism of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ that takes the ideal $\langle p_1, \dots, p_m \rangle$ to the ideal $\langle q_1, \dots, q_k \rangle$.

Given two isomorphic varieties V_1, V_2 and an isomorphism $\varphi : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ between them, this isomorphism may or may not be extended to an automorphism of the ambient affine space K^n . (In a purely algebraic language, i.e., when talking about isomorphism between algebras of residue classes, we would say that the isomorphism may or may not be *lifted* to an automorphism of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.) Clearly, if an isomorphism $\varphi : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 14E09, 14E25; Secondary 14A10, 13B25.

*) Partially supported by RGC Grant Project 7126/98P.

cannot be extended to an automorphism of K^n , then the varieties V_1 and V_2 represent two inequivalent embeddings of the same algebraic variety in K^n .

In this paper, we concentrate on examples of varieties with non-extendable isomorphisms, and therefore with inequivalent embeddings in K^n . Numerous examples of that sort were published previously – see e.g. [2], [5], [9], [10], [12]. However, all varieties in those examples required an individual approach, i.e., there was, up until now, no reasonably big “pool” of such examples. In particular, in our earlier paper [12], even though we have reported a very simple criterion for detecting varieties with inequivalent embeddings, it could not be decided just by inspection whether a given variety satisfies this criterion; again, each example had to be treated individually.

Here we are able to give a couple of criteria for an algebraic variety to have inequivalent embeddings, that can be verified just by inspection, at least in the case where a given variety is a hypersurface, i.e., is of the form $V(p)$ for some polynomial p . These criteria, in general, appeal to a Gröbner basis (a general reference here is [3]) of the ideal that determines a given variety; however, in the case where a variety is a hypersurface $V(p)$, the criteria can be formulated without mentioning Gröbner bases, but just by appealing to the collection of monomials of the polynomial p . Crucial for our criteria is the following result of Hadas [6]: if q is a *coordinate* polynomial of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ (i.e., q can be taken to x_1 by an automorphism of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$), then all vertices of the Newton polytope of q are on coordinate hyperplanes. This condition can be easily translated into a collection of simple linear inequalities for the exponents of variables to which they occur in monomials of q .

Recall that a pure lexicographic order on the set of monomials in the variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is induced by an order $x_{i_1} < \dots < x_{i_n}$ on the set of variables, so that, for example, $x_{i_1}^k < x_{i_n}$ for any k .

Now we are ready to formulate our criteria.

Theorem 1.1. Let $p_1 = p_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 - f(x_1^k, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, $k \geq 2$, where x_1 actually occurs in the polynomial f . Let $p_i = p_i(x_2, \dots, x_n)$, $2 \leq i \leq m$. Suppose every polynomial in the Gröbner basis, with respect to some pure lexicographic order, of the ideal $\langle p_1, \dots, p_m \rangle$, has the highest monomial of the form $x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n}$, with all $k_i > 0$. Then the isomorphism $\varphi : x_1 \rightarrow x_1^k$, $x_i \rightarrow x_i$, $i \geq 2$, between $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle x_1 - f^k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), p_2, \dots, p_m \rangle$ and $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle p_1, \dots, p_m \rangle$, cannot be lifted to an automorphism of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

We have to admit that the condition on the Gröbner basis in Theorem 1.1 can be computationally hard to verify if $m \geq 2$, and, moreover, a Gröbner basis is not very likely to have the required form in that case. However, for a single polynomial p_1 , mentioning a Gröbner basis can be altogether avoided, and the criterion becomes simple:

Corollary 1.2. Let $p = p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 - f(x_1^k, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, $k \geq 2$, where x_1 actually occurs in the polynomial f . Suppose the Newton polytope of the polynomial f has a vertex outside of any coordinate hyperplane. Then the isomorphism $\varphi : x_1 \rightarrow x_1^k$, $x_i \rightarrow$

x_i , $i \geq 2$, between $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle x_1 - f^k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \rangle$ and $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle p \rangle$ cannot be lifted to an automorphism of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Another large class of algebraic varieties with inequivalent embeddings is given in the following

Theorem 1.3. Let $p_1 = p_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 - f_1(x_1 \cdot f_2, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, where x_1 actually occurs in the polynomial f_1 , and the polynomial $f_2 = f_2(x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is not a constant. Let $p_i = p_i(x_2, \dots, x_n)$, $2 \leq i \leq m$. Suppose every polynomial in the Gröbner basis, with respect to some pure lexicographic order, of the ideal $\langle p_1, \dots, p_m \rangle$, has the highest monomial of the form $x_1^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_n^{k_n}$, with all $k_i > 0$, and suppose that every such monomial is higher than any monomial in $x_1 \cdot f_2$. Then the isomorphism $\varphi : x_1 \rightarrow x_1 \cdot f_2$, $x_i \rightarrow x_i$, $i \geq 2$, between $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle x_1 - f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot f_2, p_2, \dots, p_m \rangle$ and $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m \rangle$ cannot be lifted to an automorphism of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Again, for a single polynomial p_1 , the criterion becomes very simple:

Corollary 1.4. Let $p = p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 - f_1(x_1 \cdot f_2, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, where x_1 actually occurs in the polynomial f_1 , and the polynomial $f_2 = f_2(x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is not a constant. Suppose the Newton polytope of the polynomial p has a vertex outside of any coordinate hyperplane, and suppose that p has higher monomials than any monomial in $x_1 \cdot f_2$. Then the isomorphism $\varphi : x_1 \rightarrow x_1 \cdot f_2$, $x_i \rightarrow x_i$, $i \geq 2$, between $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle x_1 - f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot f_2(x_2, \dots, x_n) \rangle$ and $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle p \rangle$ cannot be lifted to an automorphism of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

This has another interesting corollary, which is a rather unexpected payoff of our method. Recall that a subalgebra S of an algebra R is called a *retract* if there is an idempotent homomorphism (a *retraction*, or *projection*) $\varphi : R \rightarrow R$ such that $\varphi(R) = S$. A characterization of retracts of a two-variable polynomial algebra $K[x, y]$ was given in [11]. Since every proper retract of $K[x, y]$ is of the form $K[p]$ for some polynomial $p = p(x, y)$ (see [4]), we shall also call the curve $p = 0$ a (algebraic) retract of K^2 if $K[p]$ is a retract of $K[x, y]$. Based on our characterization of retracts [11] and on Corollary 1.4 above, we get

Corollary 1.5. Let $p = p(x, y)$, and let $K[p]$ be a retract of $K[x, y]$. The curve $p = 0$ has inequivalent embeddings in K^2 unless p is either a coordinate polynomial or can be taken to xy by an automorphism of $K[x, y]$.

The meaning of this result is that it distinguishes lines among irreducible algebraic retracts of K^2 by means of an “external” property of having a unique embedding in K^2 . The fact that $p = 0$ has a unique embedding in K^2 for a coordinate polynomial p , is a well known result of Abhyankar and Moh [1] and Suzuki [14]. The curve $xy = 0$ is known to have a unique embedding in $\mathbf{C}^2$ – see [8].

In Section 3, we consider embeddings of varieties of codimension 2. It is known that every algebraic variety in $\mathbf{C}^n$ has a unique embedding in $\mathbf{C}^{2n+2}$ – see [9] or [13]. The

situation with embeddings of varieties of a high codimension is therefore really intriguing. For instance, to the best of our knowledge, there is no example of a smooth irreducible algebraic variety of dimension n with inequivalent embeddings in $\mathbf{C}^{2n+1}$.

Here we give examples, for any $n \geq 3$, of algebraic varieties of codimension two having inequivalent embeddings in K^n . These varieties however are not smooth, even though each has only one singular point. We note that Kaliman [9] gave an example of a curve (with one singular point) that has inequivalent embeddings in $\mathbf{C}^3$. Our method here seems to be more “generic”, i.e., we, in fact, give a rather general recipe for constructing examples of that sort.

2 Varieties with non-extendable isomorphisms

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The fact that the mapping φ is actually an isomorphism, is explained in [12, Example 1]. We are not going to reproduce the argument here because this would require too much background material. However, to make the exposition here as self-contained as possible, we verify that φ is an *onto* homomorphism. The fact that it is onto is fairly obvious since, modulo the ideal $\langle p_1, \dots, p_m \rangle$, we have $x_1 = f(x_1^k, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. To see that φ is a homomorphism, observe that $\varphi(x_1 - f^k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) = x_1^k - f^k(x_1^k, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (x_1 - f(x_1^k, x_2, \dots, x_n)) \cdot (\dots)$.

Assume now, by way of contradiction, that φ can be lifted to an automorphism of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then there must be a coordinate polynomial of the form $x_1^k + u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, where the polynomial $u = u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ belongs to the ideal $\langle p_1, \dots, p_m \rangle$. The highest monomial (with respect to a given pure lexicographic order) of the polynomial u has to be therefore divisible by the highest monomial of some polynomial in the Gröbner basis (with respect to the same pure lexicographic order) of the ideal $\langle p_1, \dots, p_m \rangle$. We claim that, if this is the case, then the polynomial $x_1^k + u$ has a vertex outside of any coordinate hyperplane, and therefore cannot be coordinate. Indeed, if all vertices of $x_1^k + u$ were on coordinate hyperplanes, that would mean that for any monomial $x_1^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_n^{k_n}$ of u involving all variables, there is another monomial $x_1^{m_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_n^{m_n}$, involving at least one variable less, such that $m_i > k_i$ for at least one i . Then the highest monomial of $x_1^k + u$ with respect to (any) pure lexicographic order would have the same form, i.e., would be missing at least one variable. A monomial like that cannot be divisible by $x_1^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_n^{k_n}$ with all $k_i > 0$, hence a contradiction. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3 is similar. Again, the fact that the mapping φ is actually an isomorphism, is explained in [12, Example 2]. To see that φ is a homomorphism, observe that $\varphi(x_1 - f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot f_2(x_2, \dots, x_n)) = x_1 \cdot f_2 - f_1(x_1 \cdot f_2, \dots, x_n) \cdot f_2 = (x_1 - f_1(x_1 \cdot f_2, \dots, x_n)) \cdot f_2$. Then, φ is obviously onto since, modulo the ideal $\langle x_1 - f(x_1 \cdot f_2, x_2, \dots, x_n), \dots, p_m \rangle$, we have $x_1 = f(x_1 \cdot f_2, x_2, \dots, x_n)$.

The proof of the fact that φ cannot be lifted to an automorphism of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ goes along the same lines as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, with one difference. The additional restriction in the statement of Theorem 1.3 is needed because, if some monomial of $x_1 \cdot f_2$ is equal to the highest monomial of some polynomial in the Gröbner basis of the ideal $\langle p_1, \dots, p_m \rangle$, then, due to cancellations, the polynomial $\varphi(x_1)$ may be lifted to a coordinate of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. This will be important to us in the proof of Corollary 1.5. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 1.5. If $K[p]$ is a retract of $K[x, y]$, then, by [11, Theorem 1.1], there is an automorphism ψ of $K[x, y]$ that takes the polynomial p to $q(x, y) = x + y \cdot f(x, y)$ for some polynomial $f(x, y)$. Since the curve $p = 0$ has a unique embedding in K^2 if and only if $q = 0$ does, we may as well assume that p itself is of the form $p(x, y) = x + y \cdot f(x, y)$. Then, by Corollary 1.4 (with $f_1(x, y) = -f(x, y)$, $f_2(x, y) = y$), the curve $p = 0$ has inequivalent embeddings in K^2 unless the degree in x of the polynomial $f(x, y)$ is ≤ 1 . If the degree is 0, then $p(x, y) = x + \sum a_i y^i$ is a coordinate polynomial, and therefore has a unique embedding in K^2 by a well known result of Abhyankar and Moh [1] and Suzuki [14].

If the degree is 1, then Corollary 1.4 is applicable unless $f(x, y) = x + g(y)$. In that case, $p(x, y) = x + xy + y \cdot g(y)$. Apply the automorphism $x \rightarrow x$, $y \rightarrow y - 1$. Then $p(x, y)$ becomes $p'(x, y) = xy + (y - 1) \cdot g(y - 1)$. This can be written as $y \cdot (x + h(y)) + c$, where $c \in K$. After applying the automorphism $x \rightarrow x - h(y)$, $y \rightarrow y$, this becomes $xy + c$. Now if $c \neq 0$, the curve $xy + c = 0$ is well known to have inequivalent embeddings in K^2 . If $c = 0$, we have the curve $xy = 0$ that has a unique embedding in $\mathbf{C}^2$ by a result of Jelonek [8]. $\square$

3 Varieties of codimension two

Example 3.1. Let the curve C_1 in, say, $\mathbf{C}^3$, be the common zero locus of two polynomials, $p_1 = p_1(x, y, z) = x - x^2y - yz - z + \frac{1}{4}$ and $q_1 = q_1(x, y, z) = y - z^2 - \frac{1}{2}z + 2xy + 2x - \frac{15}{16}$. The gradients of p_1 and q_1 have the only common zero at the point $(-\frac{1}{2}, -1, -\frac{1}{4})$, and, since this point belongs to the curve C_1 , it is a singular point of this curve. (This is, in fact, the only singular point of C_1 .) Therefore, if a curve C_2 , which is the common zero locus of two polynomials p_2 and q_2 , is to be equivalent to C_1 under an automorphism of $\mathbf{C}^3$, then there should be a point where both the gradients of p_2 and q_2 are equal to 0. (This follows easily from the “chain rule” for partial derivatives.) We are now going to exhibit a curve C_2 which is isomorphic to C_1 but has no points of this kind.

As in [10], it will be technically more convenient to write algebras of residue classes as “algebras with relations”, i.e., for example, instead of $\mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle p(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rangle$ we shall write $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \mid p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \rangle$.

Now we get the following chain of “elementary” isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle x, y, z \mid x = x^2y + yz + z - \frac{1}{4}, y = z^2 + \frac{1}{2}z - 2xy - 2x + \frac{15}{16} \rangle \cong \\
&\langle x, y, z, u \mid u = xy, x = xu + yz + z - \frac{1}{4}, y = z^2 + \frac{1}{2}z - 2u - 2x + \frac{15}{16} \rangle \cong \\
&\langle x, z, u \mid u = xz^2 + \frac{1}{2}xz - 2xu - 2x^2 + \frac{15}{16}x, x = xu + z - \frac{1}{4} + (z^2 + \frac{1}{2}z - 2u - 2x + \frac{15}{16})z \rangle \cong \\
&\langle x, y, z \mid y = xz^2 + \frac{1}{2}xz - 2xy - 2x^2 + \frac{15}{16}x, x = xy + z - \frac{1}{4} + (z^2 + \frac{1}{2}z - 2y - 2x + \frac{15}{16})z \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have a curve C_2 which is isomorphic to C_1 and which is the common zero locus of $p_2 = p_2(x, y, z) = y - xz^2 - \frac{1}{2}xz + 2xy + 2x^2 - \frac{15}{16}x$ and $q_2 = q_2(x, y, z) = x - xy - z + \frac{1}{4} - (z^2 + \frac{1}{2}z - 2y - 2x + \frac{15}{16})z$.

The gradient of p_2 vanishes only at the point $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{4}, -\frac{1}{4})$, whereas the gradient of q_2 does not vanish at this point, i.e., the gradients of p_2 and q_2 have no common zeros. Therefore, C_2 is not equivalent to C_1 .

Example 3.2. Based on the previous example, we can construct examples of algebraic varieties of codimension two with inequivalent embeddings in K^n for any $n \geq 3$ as follows. Let $n = 3 + k$, $k \geq 1$, and let $p_1 = p_1(x, y, z, t_1, \dots, t_k) = x - x^2y - yz - z + \frac{1}{4} + t_1^2 + \dots + t_k^2$; $q_1 = q_1(x, y, z, t_1, \dots, t_k) = y - z^2 - \frac{1}{2}z + 2xy + 2x - \frac{15}{16}$. Let V_1 be the common zero locus of p_1 and q_1 in K^n . Then the only singular point of V_1 where both the gradients of p_1 and q_1 are equal to 0, is the point $(-\frac{1}{2}, -1, -\frac{1}{4}, 0, \dots, 0)$.

Arguing as in Example 3.1, we get a variety V_2 which is isomorphic to V_1 and which is the common zero locus of $p_2 = p_2(x, y, z, t_1, \dots, t_k) = y - xz^2 - \frac{1}{2}xz + 2xy + 2x^2 - \frac{15}{16}x$ and $q_2 = q_2(x, y, z, t_1, \dots, t_k) = x - xy - z + \frac{1}{4} - (z^2 + \frac{1}{2}z - 2y - 2x + \frac{15}{16})z + t_1^2 + \dots + t_k^2$. The gradients of p_2 and q_2 have no common zeros, hence V_2 is not equivalent to V_1 .

We note that the choice of constant terms in the polynomials p_1 and q_1 was made so that the point where both the gradients of p_1 and q_1 are equal to 0 would belong to our variety V_1 . We needed this to be able to prove that V_2 is not equivalent to V_1 . However, it seems plausible (although we do not have a proof at this time) that (the corresponding) V_2 is not going to be equivalent to V_1 with most any choice of constant terms in p_1 and q_1 , which would, in particular, give an example of a smooth irreducible curve with inequivalent embeddings in $\mathbf{C}^3$.

References

- [1] S. S. Abhyankar, T.-T. Moh, *Embeddings of the line in the plane*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **276** (1975), 148–166.
- [2] S. S. Abhyankar and A. Sathaye, *Uniqueness of plane embeddings of special curves*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), 1061–1069.
- [3] W. Adams and P. Lounstaunau, *An introduction to Gröbner bases*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1994.
- [4] D. Costa, *Retracts of polynomial rings*, J. Algebra **44** (1977), 492–502.

- [5] H. Derksen, F. Kutzschebauch, J. Winkelmann, *Subvarieties of $\mathbf{C}^n$ with non-extendable automorphisms*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **508** (1999), 213–235.
- [6] O. Hadas, *On the vertices of Newton polytopes associated with an automorphism of the ring of polynomials*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **76** (1991), 81–86.
- [7] Z. Jelonek, *The extension of regular and rational embeddings*, Math. Ann. **277** (1987), 113–120.
- [8] Z. Jelonek, *A hypersurface which has the Abhyankar-Moh property*, Math. Ann. **308** (1997), 73–84.
- [9] S. Kaliman, *Extensions of isomorphisms between affine algebraic subvarieties of k^n to automorphisms of k^n* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **113** (1991), 325–334.
- [10] V. Shpilrain and J.-T. Yu, *Embeddings of curves in the plane*, J. Algebra **217** (1999), 668–678.
- [11] V. Shpilrain and J.-T. Yu, *Polynomial retracts and the Jacobian conjecture*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), 477–484.
- [12] V. Shpilrain and J.-T. Yu, *Embeddings of hypersurfaces in affine spaces*, J. Algebra **239** (2001), 161–173.
- [13] V. Srinivas, *On the embedding dimension of the affine variety*, Math. Ann. **289** (1991), 125–132.
- [14] M. Suzuki, *Propriétés topologiques des polynômes de deux variables complexes, et automorphismes algébriques de l'espace $\mathbf{C}^2$* , J. Math. Soc. Japan **26** (1974), 241–257.
- [15] M. G. Zaidenberg, V. Ya. Lin, *An irreducible, simply connected algebraic curve in $\mathbf{C}^2$ is equivalent to a quasihomogeneous curve*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **28** (1983), 200–204.

Department of Mathematics, The City College of New York, New York, NY 10031

e-mail address: shpil@groups.sci.ccny.cuny.edu

http://zebra.sci.ccny.cuny.edu/web/shpil

Department of Mathematics, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong

e-mail address: yujt@hkusua.hku.hk

http://hkumath.hku.hk/~jtyu